

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER. FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,832	02/08/2000	Alexander Dadiomov	1018.068US1	1798
23460 7	590 06/18/2003			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER	
180 NORTH S	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE TETSON AVENUE	2 4900	AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			ART UNIT	PAPER,NUMBER
		•	2143	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

19

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)
•		99,832	DADIOMOV ET AL.
Office Action Summa	ery Exam	iner	Art Unit
	Josep	h E. Avellino	2143
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the strength of the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the may failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 Status	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply within the kimum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>08 May 200</u>	<u>93</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This actio	n is non-final.	
Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	ndition for allowance ex e practice under <i>Ex part</i>	cept for formal m e Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending i	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from	consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-42</u> is/are objected	l to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or election	on requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that a			
11) The proposed drawing correction			disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings			
12) The oath or declaration is object	•		
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non —			
1. Certified copies of the p	-		
2. Certified copies of the p			
	International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage t received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
a) The translation of the forei			
attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Sun	nmary	Part of Paper No. 9

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-42 are presented for examination.

2. During a conversation with Ms. Grace Law on June 3, 2003, the Examiner indicated that claims 32-42 would be allowable if written in independent form. Ms. Law thanked the Examiner for pointing out allowable subject matter but declined to rewrite said claims in allowable form.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 32-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-14, 16-18, and 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bell et al. (6,044,081) (hereinafter Bell).

5. Referring to claim 1, Bell discloses a machine readable-medium having instructions stored thereon for execution by a processor of a sender within a message transaction system to perform a method comprising:

tagging a first message of a transaction with a first-message identifier (col. 20, lines 54-65);

tagging a last message of the transaction with a last-message identifier (col. 20, lines 54-65); and

transmitting the first message, the last message and any other message of the transaction (e.g. abstract);

- 6. Referring to claim 3, Bell discloses the last-message identifier comprises a bit set to one when tagged and otherwise set to zero (col. 20, lines 54-65).
- 7. Referring to claim 4, Bell discloses, prior to transmitting, tagging the first message, the last message, and the any other message of the transaction with a transaction-counter identifier (message number) (col. 20, lines 54-65).
- 8. Referring to claims 5 and 18, Bell discloses the transaction-counter identifier comprises an ordered-counter of bits (col. 20, lines 34-65 and Figure 7).

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832

Art Unit: 2143

9. Referring to claim 12, Bell discloses a machine-readable medium having . instructions stored thereon for execution by a processor of a receiver within a message transaction system to perform a method comprising:

receiving a first message (col. 21, lines 5-30);

determining whether the first message is tagged with a first-message identifier (col. 21, lines 5-19);

upon determining that the first message is tagged with the first-message identifier,

repeating receiving an additional message until the additional message received is tagged with one of the first-message identifier and a last-message identifier (col. 21, lines 5-30);

upon determining that the additional message is tagged with the lastmessage identifier, concluding at least that a transaction having a proper first and last message has been received (col. 21, lines 5-19);

otherwise concluding that an error has occurred (col. 21, lines 5-30); and, otherwise concluding that an error has occurred (col. 21, lines 5-30).

10. Referring to claim 13, Bell discloses repeating receiving an additional message until the additional message received is tagged with one of the first-message identifier and a last-message identifier comprises repeating receiving the additional message until the additional message received is tagged with one of the first-message identifier,

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

the last-message identifier and a transaction-counter identifier unequal to a transaction-counter identifier with which the first message is tagged (col. 21, lines 20-30).

- 11. Referring to claim 14, Bell discloses determining that the additional message is tagged with the last-message identifier, concluding at least that a transaction (message) having a proper first and last message (frame) has been received comprises upon determining that the additional message is tagged with the last-message identifier and with a transaction-counter identifier equal to a transaction-counter identifier with which the first message is tagged, concluding at least that a transaction having a proper first and last message has been received only upon so determining (col. 21, lines 20-30).
- 12. Claims 6, 7, 9, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, and 29-31 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 13. Referring to claim 11, Bell discloses changing the transaction-counter identifier (message number) comprises incrementing the ordered counter of bits (Figure 7 and col. 20, lines 34-65).
- 14. Referring to claim 22, Bell discloses tagging each message of the transaction as part of the transaction (e.g. cols. 20-21).

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

15. Referring to claims 25 and 28, Bell discloses comprising a processor and a computer-readable medium, such that the computer program is executed by the processor from the computer-readable medium (col. 10, lines 25-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 8, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell in view of Dombrosky et al. (USPN 5,881,247) (hereinafter Dombrosky).

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832

Art Unit: 2143

18. Referring to claims 2, 8, 15, and 19, Bell discloses a method for setting transactional boundaries as stated above. Bell does not disclose the first-message identifier comprises a bit set to one when tagged and otherwise set to zero. Dombrosky discloses the first-message identifier comprises a bit set to one when tagged and otherwise set to zero (col. 27, lines 1-3). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Dombrosky with Bell to provide another design method to detect the start of a new transaction.

Response to Amendment

- 19. The Examiner has considered the amendment to claim 7 to correct a problem concerning a 35 USC 112, first paragraph issue. The rejection to claim 7 under this statue is withdrawn.
- 20. Applicant's arguments filed May 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 21. Applicant argues in substance that (1) the system of Bell deals primarily with encapsulating MAC frames within a Q.921 format instead of the claimed invention which deals with "application oriented messages" as claimed and therefore is not applicable as prior art.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832 Page 8

Art Unit: 2143

22. As to point (1) Applicant is correct in identifying the purpose and scope of the Bell reference. However the claims recite "a message transaction system" which is taken to mean transferring any ordering of bits between two computers. Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., pertaining to applications tracking completion of database transactions) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

23. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,832

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (703) 305-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JEA June 13, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 9